

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Gnited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brot. 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,391	04/22/2004	Charles E. Brooks	7037.001	5409
7	590 05/10/2005		EXAMINER	
William A. Blake			MORILLO, JANELL COMBS	
Jones, Tullar & Eads Station	c Cooper, P.C.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 2266	,		1742	
Arlington, VA	. 22202		DATE MAILED: 05/10/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	٦-
	10/829,391	BROOKS ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	_		1
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repion.  It is a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 April 2004.		
· — ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.		·
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-22</u> is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 23-31</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	- [
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	ĺ
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/829,391

Art Unit: 1742

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-9 and 23-31, drawn to an Al-Zn alloy, classified in class 420, subclass.

    532.
  - II. Claims 10-22, drawn to process of ingot casting and heat treating an Al-Zn alloy, classified in class 148, subclass 549.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process such as continuous strip casting.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with William Blake on April 21, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-9, 23-31.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 10-22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,391

Art Unit: 1742

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9, 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warner (US 2002/0162609A1).

Warner teaches an alloy with 9-11% Zn, 1.8-3.0% Mg, 1.2-2.6% Cu, at least one of Mn, Cr, 0.05-0.20% Zr, Hf, V, Ti and 0.05-0.3% Sc (abstract), which significantly overlaps the presently claimed alloying ranges (cl. 1-7, 23-29).

Overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, see MPEP § 2144.05. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the range, including the claimed range, from the broader range disclosed in the prior art, because the prior art finds that said composition in the entire disclosed range has a suitable utility. Because Warner teaches a substantial overlap in alloying ranges, it is held that Warner has created a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

Concerning dependent claims 8 and 30, Warner teaches said alloy typically contains

Art Unit: 1742

about 0.05% Si and 0.07% Fe (see Table 4), which falls within the instant ranges.

Concerning dependent claims 9 and 31, Warner teaches said 7xxx series alloy has excellent mechanical properties and is suitable for high strength rolled, extruded, or forged products {0002], [0005], such as aerospace wings [0002].

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am- 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER

jcm ()' May 3, 2005